Nutrition and Climate Change: Major issues confronting the meat industry

Edited by JD Wood and C Rowlings



Context Products Ltd 53 Mill Street, Packington Leicestershire, LE65 1WN, United Kingdom

First published 2011

© Context Products Ltd

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any material form (including photocopying or storing in any medium by electronic means and whether or not transiently or incidentally to some other use of this publication) without the written permission of the copyright holder except in accordance with the provisions of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988. Applications for the copyright holder's written permission to reproduce any part of this publication should be addressed to the publishers.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

Nutrition and Climate Change: Major issues confronting the meat industry

ISBN 9781899043682

Disclaimer

Every reasonable effort has been made to ensure that the material in this book is true, correct, complete and appropriate at the time of writing. Nevertheless the publishers and the author do not accept responsibility for any omission or error, or for any injury, damage, loss or financial consequences arising from the use of the book. Views expressed in the articles are those of the author and not of the Editor or Publisher.

PREFACE

Meat consumption is increasing around the world and meat contributes importantly to most people's daily requirements of protein, iron and other essential nutrients. Yet global meat production is presently facing two serious challenges. The first is the criticism that meat consumption is unhealthy, because of its contribution to saturated fat intake and the second is the allegation that meat production contributes disproportionately to greenhouse gas emissions and global warming.

This book, the proceedings of the recent Langford Food Industry Conference, presents the latest information on meat's role in nutrition and human health and examines the arguments surrounding the effects of meat production on the environment. It shows how animal science is developing new production systems which will improve the healthiness of meat and reduce greenhouse gas emissions, including organic meat production. The case for ruminant and other meats as sources of n-3 fatty acids in the human diet is presented. Factors affecting the demand for meat are discussed along with the challenges facing legislators on climate change issues. The final chapter considers the increasing importance of world trade in meat and the need for meat industries around the world to work more closely together on nutrition and climate change issues.

The Langford Food Industry Conference in July 2010 was supported financially by EBLEX and BPEX, to whom we are most grateful.

J D Wood and C Rowlings Langford, University of Bristol





CONTENTS

| Preface | iii |
|--|----------|
| NUTRITIONAL ASPECTS OF RED MEAT IN THE DIET | 1 |
| L Wyness | |
| Abstract | 1 |
| Introduction | 1 |
| Nutrition content of meat | 2 |
| Energy | 4 |
| Protein Fat and fatty acide | 6 7 |
| Fal ally fally actus Microputrient composition of red meat | 12 |
| Vitamins | 12 |
| Minerals | 15 |
| Processed meats and meat products | 16 |
| Vulnerable groups | 17 |
| Summary | 19 |
| NUTRITION AND HEALTH: GLOBAL ISSUES FOR THE MEAT INDUSTRY | 23 |
| M Strong | |
| Abstract | 23 |
| Introduction | 24 |
| Nutrition and health issues | 26 |
| Legislation and Government intervention | 40 |
| Voluntary labelling | 41 |
| International perspective | 44 |
| Conclusions | 45 70 |
| Recommendations | 49 50 |
| HEALTH IMPLICATIONS OF REDUCING ANIMAL PROTEIN INTAKES | |
| TO MEET ENVIRONMENTAL TARGETS | 59 |
| DJ Millward | |
| Abstract | 59 |
| Introduction | 59 |
| Current intakes and general advice on meat and dairy foods | 60 |
| Reductions in animal source foods and nutrition | 61 |
| Reductions in animal source foods in the diet and overall health | 62 |

vi Nutrition and climate change

| 65 |
|----|
| 66 |
| 67 |
| 67 |
| 69 |
| 70 |
| 71 |
| |

RAISING THE NUTRITIONAL VALUE OF BEEF AND BEEF PRODUCTS TO ADD VALUE IN BEEF PRODUCTION

ND Scollan, JF Hocquette, RI Richardson and EJ Kim

Abstract 79 Introduction 80 Regulation of intramuscular fat deposition 81 Fatty acid composition of beef lipids 84 Manipulating fatty acid composition of beef 85 Enhancing the fatty acid composition of beef products 90 Lipid metabolism in the rumen 93 Conclusions 96

MEAT AND OTHER ANIMAL-DERIVED FOODS AS SOURCES OF *n*-3 **FATTY ACIDS**

RA Gibbs, C Rymer and DI Givens

| Abstract | 105 |
|--|-----|
| Health benefits of <i>n</i> -3 fatty acids and human dietary requirements | 105 |
| <i>n</i> -3 fatty acids in the food chain | 106 |
| Current intakes of <i>n</i> -3 fatty acids | 107 |
| <i>n</i> -3 fatty acids in animal foods | 108 |
| Enhancing the <i>n</i> -3 fatty acid composition of ruminant meat and milk | 110 |
| Enhancing the <i>n</i> -3 fatty acid content of non-ruminant meat and eggs | 113 |
| Food quality | 116 |

NOT ALL MEAT IS EQUAL – THE CASE FOR GRASS FED BEEF AND LAMB 123

P Melchett and R Young

| Abstract | 123 |
|---|-----|
| Framing the debate – do we need to double food production? | 123 |
| The problem with business-as-usual projections | 124 |
| Four hundred international scientists take a different view | 125 |
| The pressures of climate change and diet-related ill-health | 125 |
| The complexity of looking at all greenhouse gases | 126 |

105

79

| Soil carbon – the elephant in the agricultural room | 127 |
|--|-----|
| Getting carbon back into the soil | 128 |
| The case for organic, grass reared beet and lamb | 129 |
| The UK's natural advantages in producing climate-friendly beef | 129 |
| How organically farmed cattle can be carbon friendly | 130 |
| Weaknesses in the organic case? | 132 |
| Red versus white meat and human health | 133 |
| Conclusion – cattle and other ruminants the key to the future | 135 |
| THE DEMAND FOR MEAT AND PATTERNS OF CONSUMPTION: | 120 |
| AN INTRODUCTORY GOIDE | 139 |
| | 420 |
| ADSTRACT | 139 |
| Introduction | 140 |
| The freedom of demand | 140 |
| Ine factors affecting demand | 142 |
| Moving the demand curves for meat | 143 |
| The stope of the curve | 152 |
| MEAT PRODUCTION AND THE CLIMATE CHANGE AGENDA | 159 |
| D Pullar | |
| Abstract | 159 |
| Introduction | 160 |
| Production or consumption? | 162 |
| Meat consumption considerations | 163 |
| Local concerns: managing the GHG cost of English beef and lamb | |
| production | 164 |
| The emissions challenge | 165 |
| Current emissions position | 166 |
| Beef production environmental impact | 167 |
| Sheep production environmental impact | 168 |
| Emissions improvement targets | 170 |
| Emissions improvement strategy | 171 |
| Efficiency improvement opportunities | 172 |
| Efficiency improvement requirements | 173 |
| Achieving the feeding efficiency improvements | 175 |
| Other environmental impacts | 177 |
| Landscape management | 178 |
| Carbon sequestration | 178 |
| Fertiliser use | 178 |
| Nutrient management and planning | 179 |
| Water usage and quality | 179 |

| CHANGES IN ANIMAL PRODUCTION TO REDUCE GREENHOUSE GAS | |
|--|--|
| EMISSIONS | 181 |
| CK Reynolds, LA Crompton and JAN Mills | |
| Abstract Improving the efficiency of feed energy utilization Methane energy loss Improving the efficiency of feed nitrogen utilization Conclusion | 181 183 184 189 191 |
| INFORMING FOOD POLICY: INTEGRATING THE EVIDENCE | 197 |
| M Gill and JD Wood | |
| Abstract Introduction Food security Food prices Food policy Climate change issues Greenhouse gas emissions from livestock The need for integrated evidence in developing food policy HOW IS THE GLOBAL MEAT INDUSTRY RESPONDING TO THE CHALLENGES OF CLIMATE CHANGE | 197 197 198 198 199 199 200 200 |
| | 203 |
| Abstract Introduction Setting the scene International trade in meat is not only unavoidable but desirable What action is the shipping industry taking? The global meat sector The dairy sector | 203 203 204 206 207 208 213 |
| INDEX | 215 |

NUTRITIONAL ASPECTS OF RED MEAT IN THE DIET

L WYNESS

The British Nutrition Foundation, High Holborn House, 52-54 High Holborn, London WC1V 6RQ, UK

E-mail: l.wyness@nutrition.org.uk

Abstract

This paper provides a summary of the nutritional content of red meat in the diet. Meat and meat products can make an important contribution to nutrient intakes in the diet. Red meat contains high biological value protein and important micronutrients, all of which are essential for good health throughout life. Red meat provides a number of vitamins and minerals including iron, zinc, selenium, phosphorus, potassium, vitamin D and B vitamins. Meat also contains a range of fats. Meat is a source of fat and saturated fat, and processed meats are more likely to have a higher content of sodium than lean meat. However, meat also contains the essential omega-3 (n-3) and omega-6 (n-6) polyunsaturated fatty acids. In recent years animal husbandry techniques have been modified to produce meat with a more favourable fatty acid profile and modern butchery techniques and advances in food processing technologies have led to a reduction in the fat content of carcase meat. The composition of different types of meat varies widely. For example, lean red meat is low in total fat, saturated fat and salt, whereas untrimmed meat is higher in both total fat and saturated fat. Most healthy balanced diets will include lean meat in moderate amounts, together with starchy carbohydrates (including whole grain foods), plenty of fruit and vegetables, and moderate amounts of milk and dairy foods. The Food Standards Agency's 'Eatwell Plate' shows how much of the overall diet should come from each food group.

Introduction

There is a wide variety of meat consumed throughout Europe reflecting the different consumer habits and attitudes.

- Carcass meat (beef and veal, lamb and mutton, and pork)
- Poultry (chicken, turkey)

| | UK | Denmark | Finland | Italy | Australia | USA |
|------------------------------|-------|---------|---------|-------|-----------|-------|
| Beef, lean, raw | | | | | | |
| Energy (kJ) | 542 | 647 | 639 | 455 | 528 | 526 |
| Protein (g) | 22.5 | 21.1 | 19.3 | 21.6 | 23.0 | 21.0 |
| Fat (g) | 4.3 | 7.8 | 8.4 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 4.0 |
| SFA (g) | 1.7 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| MUFA (g) | 1.9 | 3.7 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| PUFA (g) | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.35 | 0.2 |
| Niacin (mg) | 9.7 | 10.0 | 10.4 | 5.1 | 4.1 | 6.2 |
| Thiamin (mg) | 0.1 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.04 | 0.08 |
| Vitamin B_{12} (µg) | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 1.5 |
| Iron (mg) | 2.7 | 2.1 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 1.8 |
| Zinc (mg) | 4.1 | 4.8 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 3.9 |
| Selenium (µg) | 7.0 | 6.8 | 15.1 | 5.6 | 12.0 | 26.0 |
| Sodium (mg) | 63.0 | 65.0 | 51.5 | 43.0 | 49.0 | 54.0 |
| Potassium (mg) | 350.0 | 325.0 | 317.0 | 334.0 | 360.0 | 323.0 |
| Lamb (leg), lean, | raw | | | | | |
| Energy (kJ) | 639 | 704 | 767 | 510 | 628 | 536 |
| Protein (g) | 20.2 | 20.1 | 19.0 | 20.0 | 22.0 | 20.6 |
| Fat (g) | 8.0 | 9.8 | 12.0 | 4.6 | 7.0 | 4.5 |
| SFA (g) | 3.5 | 4.5 | 4.2 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.6 |
| MUFA (g) | 3.1 | 3.6 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 1.8 |
| PUFA (g) | 0.5 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.4 |
| Niacin (mg) | 5.4 | 7.5 | 8.4 | 4.9 | 5.6 | 6.2 |
| Thiamin (mg) | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Vitamin B ₁₂ (µg) | 2.0 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2.7 |
| Iron (mg) | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.8 |
| Zinc (mg) | 3.3 | 3.4 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 4.0 | 3.8 |
| Selenium (µg) | 4.0 | 1.4 | 13.5 | 18.0 | 13.8 | 23.5 |
| Sodium (mg) | 70.0 | 66.0 | 58.0 | 100.0 | 63.0 | 62.0 |
| Potassium (mg) | 330.0 | 218.0 | 240.0 | 350.0 | 325.0 | 289.0 |
| Pork, lean, raw | | | | | | |
| Energy (kJ) | 519 | 784 | 669 | 658 | 461 | 602.0 |
| Protein (g) | 21.8 | 20.0 | 20.6 | 21.3 | 23.5 | 21.2 |
| Fat (g) | 4.0 | 12.0 | 8.6 | 8.0 | 1.7 | 5.9 |
| SFA (g) | 1.4 | 4.8 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 0.6 | 2.0 |
| MUFA (g) | 1.5 | 5.4 | 3.4 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 2.7 |
| PUFA (g) | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 0.3 | 0.6 |
| Niacin (mg) | 6.9 | 7.3 | 8.9 | 3.0 | 9.5 | 4.8 |
| Thiamin (mg) | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Vitamin B ¹² (µg) | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.7 |

Table 1. Comparison of selected nutrients in beef, lamb and pork (per 100 g) according to food composition databases from selected countries

meat products (including poultry) contribute 40% and 37% of average daily protein intakes in men and women respectively aged 19-64 years (NDNS, 2008/9 data).

Fat and fatty acids

Fat provides the richest dietary source of energy and also supplies essential nutrients such as fat-soluble vitamins and essential fatty acids, but should be consumed in moderation to prevent excessive weight gain. Fat also provides palatability and flavour to foods. There is growing evidence that it is the type of fat rather than the total amount of fat, which is particularly important for cardiovascular disease (Stanner, 2005). It is well recognised that different fatty acids have different effects on blood cholesterol levels and risk of heart disease, some beneficial and some adverse.

Fat in meat is present as intermuscular fat (between the muscles), intramuscular fat (or marbling *i.e.* within the muscles) and subcutaneous fat (below the skin). The fat content of red meat varies widely, depending on the type of red meat, the cut and the degree of trimming (Higgs, 2000). In some countries, meat with a low fat content is classified as 'lean meat'. Although there is no international definition of lean meat, lean meat generally has between 5 and 10% fat (Williamson *et al.*, 2005).

Recommendations on the percentage of food energy provided by the various types of fatty acids, and the current average intakes in adults in the UK are provided in Table 4 below.

| Fat | Recommendations | M | en | Women | |
|---------------------|-----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | (% Food Energy) | 2000/01 | 2008/09 | 2000/01 | 2008/09 |
| Total fat | 35 | 35.5 | 35.5 | 34.7 | 34.7 |
| Saturates | 11 | 13.3 | 13.0 | 13.2 | 12.6 |
| Monounsaturates | 13 | 12.0 | 12.8 | 11.4 | 12.3 |
| n-6 polyunsaturates | Minimum 1% | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.3 |
| n-3 polyunsaturates | Minimum 0.2% | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.1 |
| Trans fat | <2 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.8 |

Table 4. Recommendations and intakes of different fatty acids in the UK diet

Source: Henderson et al. (2003); SACN 2007a; Bates et al. (2010)

24 M Strong

A number of other Government initiatives have had a direct impact on red and more particularly processed meat. The Salt Reduction Programme and more recently the Saturated Fat Campaign have urged the meat industry to reformulate red meat dishes and processed meat products. Nutrient standards and nutrient specifications in public sector catering settings, such as schools, care homes and hospitals have also driven industry reformulation programmes.

In this challenging climate the International Meat Secretariat (IMS) set up the Human Nutrition and Health Committee (HNHC) comprised of nutritionists working for the meat industry around the world. Nutrition and health issues are not viewed as a competitive issue and so working in collaboration ensures that industry defence against negative publicity can be co-ordinated and consistent messages based on sound science can be reinforced globally.

Introduction

The ongoing promotion of red and processed meat as part of a healthy balanced diet is continually being challenged by negative media coverage. Recent tracking figures suggest that pork has attracted the most negative publicity and lamb the least (Figure 1).



Figure 1. PR coverage - % of negative comments.

of someone's diet - such as eating meat - to prove it has caused obesity. Furthermore, higher protein diets have gained popularity as a successful approach to weight loss and maintenance.



Figure 3. Image of meat as too fatty (% of respondents).

Recently there has also been an interest in the glycaemic index (GI) of foods. The GI is a measure of the way the body breaks down carbohydrates and the effect this has on blood glucose levels. Foods with a low GI are encouraged as these have a beneficial effect on managing blood glucose levels and satiation, which is particularly important in diabetes and obesity management (Brand-Miller *et al.*, 2003). As red meat has a GI of zero, it can reduce the overall GI in a meal when combined with other foods with a higher GI.

Both satiation and satiety are part of the body's appetite control system and are involved in limiting energy intake. Satiation is the process that causes us to stop eating; satiety is the feeling of fullness that persists after eating, suppressing further consumption and both are important in determining total energy intake.

The BNF (Benelam, 2009) recently reviewed the science in this field and concluded that overall, the characteristic of a food or drink that appears to have the most impact on satiety is its energy density. That is the amount of energy it contains per unit weight (kJ/g, kcal/g). When energy density is controlled, the macronutrient composition of foods does not appear to have a major impact on satiety. However, in practice, high-fat foods tend to have a higher energy density than high-protein or high-carbohydrate foods,